

Eagle Forum Report

founded by Phyllis Schlafly

200 W. Third St., Ste. 502 • Alton, IL 62002 • (618) 433-8990 • Eagle@EagleForum.org • EagleForum.org

February 2023

Volume 7/Number 2

The Fraud Behind “Climate Change”

THE SHARM EL SHAKE DOWN

by Craig Rucker and Marc Morano, Rucker has been president of CFACT for 30 years. Morano served as a senior staffer at the U.S. Senate Committee on Environment and Public Works. Morano's new book, *The Great Reset* was released in 2022.

Last November, the United Nations held its annual climate summit, the 27th “Conference of the Parties,” in Sharm El-Sheikh, Egypt. The ostensible goal of the gathering was to figure out a means to force nations to abide by a 1.5 degree celsius target set forth in the Paris accords, and to get rich nations to fork out the grandiose financing needed to make that happen.

In the end, it achieved none of this...but it did offer some interesting twists.

To kick things off, over 400 private jets transported the attendees to COP27. At the entrance to the conference, attendees were greeted by an Irish climate activist holding a placard that read “Climate change denial deserves the death penalty.” Both hypocrisy and hostility were on full display throughout the two week event.

Out with the old Greta, in with the New

One of the first twists had to do with the face of the climate crusade — teen climate activist Greta Thunberg. To the surprise of all, she skipped the Egypt gathering, the first ever U.N. climate summit she did not attend since rising to meteoric leftist fame.

Greta declared that COP27 was a “scam” that is “failing” humanity and the planet by not leading to “major changes.” According to Reuters, Greta summed up the summit thus: “Greta sees the climate summit as an opportunity for ‘people in power... to [use] greenwashing, lying and cheating.’”

Kudos to Greta. But probably to her chagrin, the U.N. did not lose a beat in literally replacing Greta with another youth climate activist.

The replacement to Greta, known as Sophia, a 20-year-old social media influencer, was a hit. She met with the U.N. Secretary General, conducted a meeting with Biden's climate envoy John Kerry, and gave a keynote speech to COP27.

In short, the U.N. tossed Greta aside quicker than a private jet commute. How dare they!

Sharm El “Sheikh-DOWN”

A second twist had to do with a renewed push for transfer payments from rich to poor nations. Among the policy positions pushed at COP27 were “climate reparations” for alleged climate damages to the developing world. This is also known as “loss and damage.” Essentially, the reparations

would amount to huge sums of money flowing from the U.S. and developed nations to help cover costs associated with any hurricanes, droughts, floods or other weather-related disasters that might strike developing countries in the future. This obviously makes no sense given that extreme weather events are not increasing on climate timescales and that the expanded use of fossil fuels make the world more resilient to any natural climate changes.

It began when a coalition of 130 nations, egged on by China, introduced a draft plan for loss and damage at COP27 in Egypt. This year it passed, despite U.S. climate envoy John Kerry's recent statement that, “it's a well-known fact that the United States and many other countries will not establish . . . some sort of legal structure that is tied to compensation or liability. That's just not happening.”

The language adopted by the COP sets up a framework for a future plan while leaving the details of who will pay for what deliberately nebulous. Don't take too much comfort from this watered down language. This is how U.N. climate diplomacy operates. First they place something into the text, assign committees to study and report back in the future, then decide who will pay. Witness the infamous “Green Climate Fund” for which hun-

(Continued on page 2)

dreds of billions of dollars are theoretically owed that developed nations continually (hopefully perpetually) avoid paying. Ever so often, however, payments are made. President Obama twice sent \$500 million in payments that Congress had appropriated for other purposes to the “GCF” just before President Trump took office.

As CFACT has reported, the inclusion of “loss and damage” in the COP27 outcome represents the thin end of the wedge. We can expect a chorus of demands for climate reparations from here on out.

In light of this development, CFACT dutifully renamed the Sharm El-Sheikh town hosting the climate summit to “Sharm El Sheikh-DOWN!”

Big AL is Watching You!

COP27 was perhaps the most assertive summit in history in calling for the merging of COVID and climate change into one campaign. The summit morphed into a “Great Reset” summit, with John Kerry appearing at a preceding World Economic Forum event proclaiming that governments should use the COVID vaccine mandate template to fight climate change.

Building on that chilling concept, Al Gore arrived at COP27 to announce a frightening partnership with Google to impose something called “ClimateTRACE”. ClimateTRACE will monitor 72,000 alleged emitters of carbon dioxide to create a version of a Chinese-style social credit system.



Monitoring our CO₂ emissions is the route for climate activists, the United Nations, and government bu-

reaucrats to dig their claws into every aspect of industrial society by monitoring and penalizing producers. The objective is to create a “Net-Zero” emission world where human prosperity and economic growth are treated as “problems” to be solved.

CFACT Senior Fellow, Peter Murphy, raised several points to U.N. panelists at workshop sessions, including the reality that China, Russia and even India are not going along with decarbonization efforts. Additionally, Murphy explained that many people around the world have no desire to give up their cars or to transition to city-living. To this a U.N. panelist said the U.N. is focused on “showing people” that the U.N.’s plans for society “benefits them,” and that bicycles should be embraced as a better option over cars anyway.

Dominating the Airwaves

On the plus side, a positive twist was also unexpected. Those advocating for Climate realism garnered more than usual media coverage throughout COP27. For example, CFACT and its Climate Depot news service dominated the global TV market with multiple primetime segments live from the summit. The BBC even reported how much impact CFACT was having in Egypt and lamented that “its members hold significant influence.”

Media Matters also attacked Morano’s reporting regarding COP27 and its Great Reset connection, labeling this assertion as part of right wing “conspiracy theories.”

CFACT also did a viral video spoof of the ubiquitous climate protesters gluing themselves to iconic art pieces while our team was in Egypt. CFACT president Craig Rucker and Morano “glued” themselves to a museum exhibit at the National Museum of Egyptian Civilization and began chanting, “No Net Zero,” “No green energy mandates!”


The video even included security mock “escorting” the two out of the museum after the stunt.

The World is not Enough!

The final twists had to do with our Commander in Chief and the unexpected “spiritual” nature of the COP27 proceedings. Regarding the first of these, President Joe Biden attended COP27, but quickly revealed how out of step he was with attendees. For example, Biden touted the passage of the Inflation Reduction Act and its \$368 billion earmarked for “green energy.” But just days earlier, Al Gore complained that Biden was merely talking about pennies on the dollar. “We need four and a half trillion dollars per year to make this transition,” Gore declared. That Biden showed himself to be out-of-step with expectations is perhaps not a surprise, but by this much was indeed eye-opening.

As for the second unexpected turn, the U.N. summit also solidified the morphing of climate change into a religion. To showcase the spiritual urgency of saving Mother Earth, climate activists smashed tablets atop Mount Sinai in Egypt to promote the unveiling of the new “climate commandments.” They also promoted a “climate repentance” ceremony.

Climate analyst Jo Nova summed up the U.N. summit succinctly when she wrote: “Like medieval Occult leaders, superstitious rain dancers, and healers with magical cures, the modern witchdoctors have satellites and simulations, but run on the same old formula since time began. Fear, smear, demons, and magic. All prophecies are ambiguous. Nothing he saith can be falsified.”

There are two prophecies that can be verified: 1) The U.N. will continue to try to deceive and shakedown the world with climate fears and, 2) CFACT will continue to oppose and expose their efforts. 

CLIMATE CONTROL

by Pat Carlson, Eagle Forum Environmental Chairman

According to Klaus Schwab, the founder of the World Economic Forum, “You’ll own nothing. And you’ll be happy.” The United Nations’ plan for global socialism is Agenda 2030, which Schwab explains is “a great reset of capitalism”.

To understand Agenda 2030, let’s look at Agenda 21. In June 1992, the U.N. held an “Earth Summit” in Rio de Janeiro, Brazil, which created the Framework Conference on Climate Change treaty.

Agenda 21 was part of the UNFCCC treaty. The Democrat-controlled U.S. Senate ratified this treaty and President George H.W. Bush immediately signed it.

While President Reagan had worked to reduce the powers of the Environmental Protection Agency, President Bush 41 signed legislation in 1990 that gave that agency new power to regulate power plants. This new power was supposed to fight acid rain and the depleting ozone. To help the power plants comply with the new EPA emission regulations, the Bush administration proposed the idea of “cap and trade”. This new “cap and trade” program set an overall acid rain pollution “budget” for the entire power sector and allowed plants to buy and sell pollution permits. The “cap and trade” idea was continued by Presidents Clinton, Bush 43 and Obama.

Agenda 21 was based on 27 principles including “common but differentiated responsibilities” and “sustainable development”. CBDR puts all the onus of responsibility on developed countries like the U.S. for causing global warming with the caveat of paying developing countries like India and China for this crime. All the developed countries that ratified the UNFCCC treaty signed what amounts to an admission of guilt for causing

global warming by virtue of our high standard of living — supposedly at the expense of the developing countries.

Of course this is a lie, but this one principle has been used for the last 30 years to place guilt on developed countries with a scheme to transfer wealth from “rich” to the “poor” countries as reparations. Eventually the “poor” countries realized the gravity of this principle and began demanding “climate justice” for this manufactured crime.

When Hillary Clinton was Secretary of State, she proposed creating the Green Climate Fund. She committed that developed countries should contribute \$100 billion per year until 2020 to the poor countries. As of 2020, a total \$10 billion was in this fund with a third of this given by the U.S.

The second principle is “sustainable development”. Its fundamental premise is that human activity causes global warming, so humans must be controlled. This is also referred to as anthropogenic climate change. Sustainable development stymies any activity that produces greenhouse gas emissions.

To accomplish this, populations must be moved into cities with dense dwellings and with only public transportation available for movement. Large land masses are to be left untouched for the wildlife.

Bill Clinton established the President’s Council on Sustainable Development and signed a multitude of Executive Orders dealing with greening the White House. He strengthened and created new wetlands and he set aside more than 7.7 million acres of public land to be off limits to humans, designated as “wilderness areas”.

The U.N. schemed to facilitate sustainable development at the local

level by inviting mayors, county commissioners and city council members to attend its international meetings. Whenever you see or hear terms like Smart Growth, Smart Meter, and Best Practices, it points to sustainable development, the U.N.’s scheme to control every aspect of our lives.

The next major UNFCCC conference was held in 1997 in Kyoto, Japan that created the Kyoto Protocol.

Prior to this conference, the U.S. Senate passed Senate Resolution 98, introduced on June 12, 1997 by Senator Robert Byrd (D-WV) stating:

Declares that the United States should not be a signatory to any protocol to, or other agreement regarding, the United Nations Framework Convention on Climate Change of 1992, at negotiations in Kyoto in December 1997 or thereafter which would: (1) mandate new commitments to limit or reduce greenhouse gas emissions... (developed countries), unless the protocol.... also mandates....commitments to limit or reduce greenhouse gas emissions for Developing Country Parties within the same compliance period; or (2) result in serious harm to the U.S. economy.

The resolution passed the U.S. Senate by 95-0. Democrats and Republicans alike were against anything that would harm the U.S. economy. Tragically, things have changed today.

The Kyoto Protocol was determined a failure by 2012 (though not publicly). Not one of the countries that ratified the Kyoto treaty met their emission reduction goals and at COP18 in Doha, Qatar a new commitment period was agreed to, but there were few takers. The U.S. was never a party to this treaty.

Because of the protocol failure, U.N. officials began to make

————— (Continued on page 4)

much bolder statements at Doha. Former UNFCCC Executive Secretary, Christiana Figueres, stated that, “what is occurring here.....in the whole climate change process is a complete transformation of the economic structure of the world...That does not happen over night.” She also later admitted that this transformation would cost \$90 trillion dollars.

Interestingly between 2015 and 2019, three events put the U.N. on a fast track to lower fossil fuel emissions claiming that otherwise the world will come to an end:

- Agenda 21 became Agenda 2030 as it was obvious that the principles of Agenda 21 were not going to be met by 2021.
- The Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change issued a report: *Without increased and urgent mitigation ambition in the coming years, leading to a sharp decline in greenhouse gas emissions by 2030, global warming will surpass 1.5°C in the following decades, leading to irreversible loss of the most fragile ecosystems.*
- The UNFCCC and the World Economic Forum signed a Strategic Partnership Framework in June 2019 outlining areas of cooperation to jointly accelerate the implementation of Agenda 2030.

In Agenda 2030, the 27 principles in Agenda 21 became the 17 lofty, unattainable “sustainable development goals”. Their utopian goals are impossible to achieve, but they serve as a smoke screen to accomplish control of every person, everywhere.

When the COVID19 pandemic came in 2020 accompanied by the global shutdown, there was a global collaboration with the U.N.’s World Health Organization. The shutdown gave the globalists a front row seat to observe how very compliant and controllable world populations were, particularly in the West.

What has happened since the lockdowns to implement Agenda 2030? President Biden and the most radical elements of the Democrat party are consumed with ending the use and production of fossil fuels in the U.S. It requires a total disregard of Americans who must pay higher prices at the pump and for home energy. Undermining our national security, he has drained our Strategic Petroleum Reserves to its lowest level since 1984.

What Biden hasn’t been able to accomplish through Executive Orders, the Democrat-controlled Congress has been more than happy to provide with legislation. The so-called Inflation Reduction Act is heralded as being the most significant piece of legislation addressing climate change to date. It includes \$370 billion in incentives for everything from solar panels to electric vehicles.

It gives the EPA an additional \$1.5 billion in funding and amends the Clean Air Act to add a new Methane Emissions Reduction Act Program, which aims to grossly reduce our meat intake. Adding monitoring systems and increasing fines for non-compliance opens the door for the EPA to regulate methane produced by animals. In The Netherlands, for example, farmers have been required to reduce their cattle population by 30% in order to reduce methane and nitrous oxide emissions. Biden told the world at COP27 that the EPA will be strengthening standards “across sectors” to reduce our methane emissions by at least 30% by 2030 or by 87 percent below the levels of 2005. When the EPA starts regulating ranchers, it will include all livestock, including chickens.

The IRA also reinstates the Superfund excise tax on crude oil and imported petroleum products for the first time since 1995. This is formally known as the Hazardous Substance Superfund Financing tax. This tax is

collected by the IRS but will refill the coffers of the EPA to cleanup hazardous sites even though those being taxed have nothing to do with these sites. This will increase prices to every U.S. citizen.

We also have Environmental Social Governance where lending institutions grade companies not only on their carbon footprint but also on their corporate boards’ ethics, diversity, and inclusion. Digital social credit scores will be based on our movements, even in our homes and includes any contribution to organizations that create a carbon footprint. China uses social credit scores to control its citizens punishing them with lack of movement and purchases. Canada used a similar system when Trudeau froze and even confiscated the bank accounts of truckers who protested the COVID vaccine mandates.

Do not believe the lie that human activity produces GHG emissions that cause global warming. No one can prove this theory. There is only .04% of CO₂ in Earth’s atmosphere. Right now CO₂ is measured as parts per million and we have 414.72 ppm in Earth’s atmosphere. The optimal CO₂ level in a commercial greenhouse is anywhere from 1100-1500 ppm. Don’t forget humans breathe out CO₂ and plants have to have CO₂ to grow. CO₂ is not a pollutant.

Second, vote for candidates that do not subscribe to the human-induced global warming theory.

Third, stand against institutions that impose the ESG standards. 

EAGLE FORUM

President: *Kris Ullman*

Report Editor: *Cathie Adams*

Yearly membership \$25.00

**Mail, call 618-433-8990, or
subscribe online**

Extra copies available:

1/\$1, 50/\$20, 100/\$30